6. Ludhiana
7. Kanpur
8. Lucknow
9. Rajkot
10. Surat
11. Bhopal
12. Nagpur
13. Varanasi
14. Coimbatore
15. Ernakulam
16. Calicut
17. Madurai
18. Vijayawada
19. Gawahati
20. Agra
21. Allahabad
22. Patna
23. Bhubaneswar
24. Vadodra
25. Ghaziabad and
26. Shillong.

Malfunctioning of Instrument landing system at Delhi and Bombay Airport

1825. SHRI SURESH KALMADI: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that instrument landing systems at Delhi and Bombay Airports are not functioning properly; and

(b) if so, what steps are being ta ken for their proper functioning?

THE MINISTER OP STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER): (a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Irregularities i(n cpnstruation, project undertaken by tn* Kdndriya Vidya- / laya Sangathan

1826. DR. BAPU KALDATE: Will tiie Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to answer to Starred Question 26 I given in the Rajya Sabha on the 25tu February, 1987 and state;

(a) what are the instances of delays leading to escalation of costs and infructuous expenditure on the construction projects undertaken by Ke-ndriya Vidyalaya Sangathan;

(b) what is the total amont of infructuous expenditure; and

(c) what action Government have taken against the officers responsible therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND CULTURE IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI KRISHNA SAHI): (a) to (c) The Central Public Works Department (CPWD) and the Military Engineering Services (MES) are *the* main construction agencies of the Kendri-ya Vidyalaya Sangathan (KVS) for construction of school bulidings and staff quarters. However, in 196i5 it was considered that t'o bring innovation in designs, to reduce the cost of construction and for quick construction the School of Planning and Architecture (SPA) and Central Building Research Institute (CBRI) should be assigned some of this work. Both of tfiese are Government sponsored organisations. Four works were assinged to these organisations. However, it was found that it did not lead either to reduction in cost or quick construction. Therefore, the matter was reviewed a sain at the level of Chairman in 1981 and these works were withdrawn from these organisations and entrusted to the normal construction agencies.

The Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan on its own initiative requested t'ne Comptroller and Auditor General" (CAG) in 1980 to conduct a special audit of all construction works. In the Audit Report received in 1986 the CAG has pointed out for cases I in which assigning of works to CBRI